

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/668,862	Applicant(s) BAROUDY ET AL.	
	Examiner Shengjun Wang	Art Unit 1617	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Shengjun Wang.

(3) _____.

 (2) Krishna G. Banerjee.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

typographic error in claim 31 as to the drawing of the formula. examiner amendment will be made to correct the error
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)